

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

RECEIVED

WC DOCKET 04-36

ORIGINAL

AUG 12 2004

katch@katchie.com wrote on 7/30/2004 10:45:47 AM :

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<META HTTP-EQUIV="Content-Type" CONTENT="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1">
<META NAME="Generator" CONTENT="MS Exchange Server version 6.0.6556.0">
oppose Dept. of Justice's request for internet wiretapping access
Katharine Cartwright
400 west 43 st
new york, ny 10036

July 22, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554
FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the 212-563-2271 government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

Katharine Cartwright, Ph.D.
212-563-2271

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

WC DOCKET 04-36

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

suejashton@yahoo.com wrote on 7/30/2004 9:34:34 AM :

AUG 12 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<META HTTP-EQUIV="Content-Type" CONTENT="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1">

<META NAME="Generator" CONTENT="MS Exchange Server version 6.0.6556.0">

Privacy

 Susan Ashton
2131 Oakland Rd. #210
San Jose, CA 95131

July 21, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE

an end-run around

Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

Susan Ashton

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

WC DOCKET 04-36

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

John1037@aol.com wrote on 7/30/2004 9:34:57 AM :

AUG 12 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

<META HTTP-EQUIV="Content-Type" CONTENT="text/html; charset=iso-8859-1">

<META NAME="Generator" CONTENT="MS Exchange Server version 6.0.6556.0">

Opposition to Dept.of Justice Request for Internet Wiretapping Access

 John Bowers
3201 Northampton Drive
Charlotte, NC 28210

July 21, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

No. of Copies rec'd 1
List ABCDE

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around

an end-run around

Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for hackers and thieves or even rogue government agents to access our personal communications. Past efforts to provide this sort of backdoor access have not been successful and only created a rich opportunity for hackers.

Once again, I urge you to oppose the dangerous suggestion of the Department of Justice that our new Internet communication technologies should have built-in wiretapping.

I look forward to hearing your thoughts on this matter.

Sincerely,

John Bowers
705-554-6175

WC DOCKET 04-36

RECEIVED

ORIGINAL

AUG 12 2004

Federal Communications Commission
Office of the Secretary

kizzmeeu@hotmail.com wrote on 7/19/2004 12:36:04 PM :

joyce heath
2332 conley
saginaw, mi 48603

July 18, 2004

FCC Chairman Michael Powell
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW
Washington, DC 20554

FCC Chairman Powell:

As a concerned individual, I am writing to express my opposition to the Department of Justice's request that all new Internet communication services be required to have built-in wiretapping access.

I do not believe this requirement is necessary. I also believe it is against our right to privacy and to be secure. Longstanding laws already require Internet Service Providers and Internet telephone companies to allow the FBI to conduct surveillance. The FBI is going far beyond these existing powers by trying to force the industry to actually build its systems around government eavesdropping. It is the equivalent of the government requiring all new homes be built with a peephole for law enforcement to look through.

I am very concerned that this requirement represents an end-run around Congress. Lawmakers, after extensive deliberations, set up boundaries for how the FBI can collect information between sources like phone companies and data sources like e-mail. The FBI's aggressive and expansive reading of the law would bypass the legislative process to alter that careful balance.

I understand that by requiring a master key to our personal communications, the government is creating the very real potential for

No. of Copies rec'd 0
List ABCDE